

The Portmantout

Dr. Tom Murphy VII Ph.D.*

1 April 2015

1 Introduction

A *portmanteau*, henceforewith non-italicized, is a stringin'-together of two words to make a new word, like “brogrammer” (brother + programmer; a programmer who is your brother), “hupset” (hungry + upset; a bit more passive than hangry), or “webinar” (web + nerd). Portmanteaus were invented by Lewis Carroll, the Jabberwock of wordplay.

It is natural to think of generalizations of the portmanteau, such as the *portmantrois*,¹ (itself a portmanteau of portmanteau + trois, French-language for three) the human-centipedeification of *three* words, such as “anachillaxis” (anaphylaxis + chill + relax; a severe allergic reaction to idleness) or “brogrammermaid” (brother + programmer + mermaid; a programmer who is your brother and a mermaid).

In this paper I present the world’s first (?)²³ *portmantout*, a portmanteau of all English-language words (*tout* means “all” in French-language). I also considered calling this a *portmantotal*, *portmantotale*, etc., as well as *portmantoutal* (a portmantroix of the first three) or even *portmantoutale*. You kind of see how this can get out of hand. The word is 630,408 letters long and contains all 108,709 words in a particular wordlist called `wordlist.asc`.⁴ Even though nobody can really agree what all the words in English are, the technique used to generate this portmantout should work for most very long word lists, although we will see in Section 3.2 that a handful of words are very important.

Since the word itself is 11 pages long in 4pt type with .75 linespacing, and this SIGBOVIK proceedings is positively overfull hbox with content, we should probably get on with it.

2 Computationalizing “portmanteau”

A real portmanteau is usually phonetic, like “portmantotally” is about the sound of “—teau” being the same as “to—”. It’s also not unusual for part of the word to be completely dropped, as in “chillax”, which drops the “re—” from relax. They are also usually clever or meaningful. For the sake of computing a portmantout, we need to make some rules about what it is, and it can’t require cleverness or semantic/phonemic interpretation of words if I’m going to start and complete this project on the day of the SIGBOVIK deadline.

Generalized portmanteau. For a set of strings L , a string s is a generalized portmanteau if the entire string can be covered by strings in L . A cover is a set of word occurrences $W = \langle m, n \rangle$, where $s_m \dots s_n$ (the substring starting at offset m and ending at n , inclusive) is in L , and, taken sorted by the m component, $W_i.n \geq W_{i+1}.m$ for each i in range. For example,

temper
red
rewrote
tempered
rewrite

This string can be covered by `rewrote`, `temper`, and `red`, so it is a generalized portmanteau if these three strings are in L . (Spoiler alert: L is English-language, so they are.) Importantly, the covering strings overlap: `rewro`, `temper` and `ed` on their own would not cover this string (and `rewro` is not a word). Therefore, we cannot simply concatenate the entire dictionary.

Portmantout. A generalized portmanteau is a portmantout if it contains every string in L as a substring. The words need not be in its cover, as there may be multiple covers (In fact I conspicuously did not choose the simpler one `rewrote` + `tempered`.) Other words, like `wrote`, `rote` and `rot` are in there too “for free”, even though they may not be able to participate in a legal cover.

A word may appear multiple times; we just have to get them all. This is fairly unavoidable—the word `a` appears 60,374 times in the portmantout. Perhaps more surprising is that the word `iraq` appears 315 times.

*Copyright © 2015 the Regents of the Wikiplia Foundation. Appears in SIGBOVIK 2015 with the **etaoin shrdlu** of the Association for Computational Heresy; *IEEEEEE!* press, Verlag-Verlag volume no. 0x40-2A. BTC 0.00

¹Graham Smith, personal communication.

²I did a couple Google searches; seems good enough.

³Enjoy source code: <http://sourceforge.net/p/tom7/misc/svn/HEAD/tree/trunk/portmantout>

⁴Tom Murphy VII, “What words ought to exist?”, SIGBOVIK 2011

Note that a “generalized” portmanteau does not actually include most colloquial portmanteaus; `programmer` cannot be covered since we dropped the “`p`—” in `programmer`. `brogrammar` is also not a generalized portmanteau since `bro` and `grammar` do not overlap, yo, but I think it would be accepted colloquially by most dudes. Disrupt!

3 Generating a portmantout

It’s fairly straightforward handwaving to see that generating the shortest portmantout is NP-complete. Seeing that it is in NP is easy; we just need to check the cover and look up all the substrings, which is clearly polynomial. It is probably NP-hard because the traveling salesman problem can be reduced to it; for each node in the graph, generate a two-symbol word xy where x and y are fresh symbols; for each edge between cities x_iy_i and x_jy_j generate a string $y_iu^wx_j$, where u is also a fresh symbol repeated w times, the cost of the edge. This allows us only to join two city words by using a corresponding edge word.⁵

OK but good news! Since it’s NP-complete, we know that we can come up with a solution that’s both non-optimal and slow, and we can still feel pretty good about it. We proceed in two steps: Generating particles eagerly, and then joining them together.

3.1 Generating particles

For the first step, we load up all the words, and insert each word into a multimap, keyed by each of its non-empty prefixes. We then start by initializing a particle from any word; we choose `portmanteau` to start, obviously. Then, repeatedly:

- Check each suffix of the particle in descending length-order,
 - If we have a word that has not already been used, strip the suffix from its start and append the remainder to the particle
- Otherwise, emit the particle and start a new one with any unused word.

As an additional optimization, we discard words that are substrings of any particle. This search makes the program much slower, but it produces a much more efficient portmantout.

This always makes progress, using up one word at each step: We either append it to our current particle, or we start a new particle with a word. The particles are all generalized portmanteaus by construction, because each added word has non-empty overlap with the previous one. Here’s an example particle: `overmagnifyinggearlessshrimprecalcicadaerators` + `shrimpieststandardizableleaseholders` + `shrimpingimpingements` + `shrimpsychedelically` (`overmagnifying` + `gearless` + `shrimprecalcicadaerators` + `shrimpiest` + `standardizableleaseholders` + `shrimpingimpingements` + `shrimpsychedelically`; presumably having something to do with shrimp).

At this point, we have about 38,000 particles, many of which are a single word. English contains many imbalances, like vastly more words ending with “`—y`” (10,071) than beginning “`y—`” (only 338), so it is not hard to see how we may get stuck with no new words to add to a particle. We’ve also used each word only once, and locally maximized the amount of overlap. If we can join these particles together in a valid way, we’ll have a portmantout.

3.2 Joining particles

Since we’ve already used every word, and, by construction, these particles cannot be adjoined directly, we know we will need to reuse some words to join them together. A simple way to do this is to construct a table of size 26^2 that for every pair of letters a and b , contains a short word that starts with a and ends with b . 86% of the table entries can be filled in, but some letters are very tricky: For example, almost no words end with “`q`” (we have only `colloq` and `iraq` in our dictionary), and there are no words that start with “`v`” and end with “`f`”. Fortunately, if we consider all two-word (generalized) portmanteaus, using basically the same algorithm as in Section 3.1, we can fill the table completely (Figure 1).

It is lucky for the existence of words like `iraq`; they are used for many of the entries in the “`q`” column. In fact, without a handful of such words, it might be the case that English would not permit a portmantotal! There are probably some less irregular languages that cannot achieve this lexical feat. :’-(

This table alone would allow us a very simple algorithm for generating a valid portmantotal: Just take words from the dictionary and concatenate them, but when putting e.g. `tv` and `farm` together, we use the `v-f` linker `vetof` (`veto + of`) from the table. We can’t ever fail! However, this would produce a portmantout that’s bigger than the dictionary itself, which isn’t very economical. Rather than use the whole dictionary this way, we instead join all of the 38,000 particles from the previous section. These are much more compact. And now we are done!

4 The portmantout

This portmantout is 630,408 letters long; there are 931,823 total letters in the dictionary so this is a compression ratio of 1.47:1. In comparison, “`brogrammermaid`” (although an illegal generalized portmanteau) has a compression ratio of $\frac{24}{14} = 1.71$:1. So it is fair to say that we are in the ballpark of a “solid portmanteau.” Of course, the gold standard is a compression ratio of $\infty : 1$ —for the case that we have the word `portmanteau`, a totally overlapping portmanteau of `portmanteau + portmanteau`,⁶ iterated infinitely.

⁵There are some rubs: TSP requires that nodes only be visited once but a portmantout can use words multiple times. I believe that the multi-visit generalization of TSP is also NP-hard. The portmantout solution also requires visiting every edge, but we can relax this by concatenating all edge words e into a new mega-long word like $e_0ze_1z\dots e_k$ where z is also a fresh symbol; since this word must appear and all edges are substrings of it, we now have no requirement that the rest of the solution (containing our TSP embedding) contains all edge words. This kind of trick also lets us set the start node for TSP.

⁶Cara Gillotti, personal communication, 2015.

continually by tolerantly by tolerantly in consolidating a humongous bubble by uniting its components by compressing it by irreversibly dehydrating it by heating it to a very high temperature until it has become a solid, heat-resistant, durable, and extremely light material. This material is then used to fill various containers and structures, such as insulation, pipes, and roofs. The process involves several steps: first, the raw materials are heated to a high temperature to melt them; then, they are mixed together and heated again to form a homogenous mixture; finally, the mixture is shaped into the desired form and cooled down to room temperature. This results in a highly durable, insulating material that can withstand extreme temperatures and pressures. The use of this material has revolutionized the construction industry, making it easier and more cost-effective to build safe and efficient structures.

firebrick at the back of the furnace and the ceramic roof tiles are supported by a steel frame. The furnace is heated from below by a gas burner. The heat is transferred to the furnace through a series of pipes and tubes. The heat is then distributed throughout the furnace through a network of ducts and fans. The furnace is controlled by a computer system which monitors the temperature and pressure of the gases. The furnace is used for the production of various types of ceramic products, such as tiles, bricks, and pipes. The furnace is also used for the calcination of various materials, such as lime, sand, and clay. The furnace is a very efficient and reliable piece of equipment, providing a consistent and high-quality product.

sive institutiveness and supervisory functions. A vertical logic of control runs through the literature, punctuated by calls for collaborative action and multiple modes of communication, and the institutional logics of power and authority are evident in the control of information, the allocation of resources, and the regulation of behavior. The emphasis on control is particularly apparent in the work of scholars who focus on organizational structures and processes, such as Dierckx and Fahey (1986), who argue that the primary role of the CEO is to ensure that the organization's resources are used efficiently and effectively. In contrast, scholars like Daft and Lewin (1984) emphasize the importance of leadership and vision in driving organizational success. While these perspectives provide valuable insights into the nature of executive leadership, they also reinforce a top-down, hierarchical view of power and control.

Another aspect of executive leadership is the ability to inspire and motivate employees. This is often seen as a key component of successful leadership, as it can help to create a positive and energized work environment. Executive leaders are often seen as role models, whose actions and attitudes can influence the behavior of others. For example, if an executive leader is known for being a good listener and showing respect for others, then this is likely to be reflected in the way that they interact with their staff. Additionally, executive leaders may have a more personal connection with their employees than other types of leaders, which can help to build trust and loyalty. By demonstrating a genuine interest in the well-being of their employees, executive leaders can create a positive culture of support and collaboration.

In conclusion, executive leadership is a complex and multifaceted concept that involves a range of different skills and abilities. While there is no single "right" way to lead an organization, effective executive leaders are characterized by their ability to inspire and motivate their teams, to manage resources efficiently, and to maintain a clear focus on the organization's goals and values. By understanding the different perspectives on executive leadership, it is possible to gain a more comprehensive appreciation of the complexity of this important role.

residential areas around the city. The city's infrastructure is well developed, with a mix of modern and traditional architecture. The city is surrounded by green spaces and parks, providing a pleasant environment for residents. The city's economy is diverse, with sectors including agriculture, manufacturing, and services. The city is also known for its rich history and cultural heritage, with many historical sites and landmarks. The city's government is committed to sustainable development and has implemented various measures to promote environmental protection and social welfare.

it holds a contented sense of peace between the windmills situated around the campsite. The calm atmosphere is punctuated by the sound of birdsong and the occasional rustle of leaves. The campsite is located in a valley, with rolling hills visible in the distance. The sky is clear and blue, suggesting a bright and sunny day.

The campsite is well-maintained, with several large trees providing shade for the picnic tables and grills. There are also several smaller shrubs and flowers scattered throughout the area. The ground is covered in a mix of dirt and grass, with some patches of weeds here and there. The overall atmosphere is peaceful and relaxing, making it the perfect place to unwind after a long day of travel.

As the sun begins to set, the campsite comes alive with activity. People can be seen walking around, talking to each other, or sitting at their picnic tables, enjoying a meal or a drink. The sound of laughter and conversation fills the air, creating a sense of community and enjoyment. The campsite is a great place to meet new people and share stories, whether you're a fellow traveler or just someone looking for a friendly face.

Overall, the campsite is a wonderful place to stay, offering a peaceful and relaxing environment for those looking for a break from the hustle and bustle of everyday life. Whether you're a backpacker, a family, or a group of friends, the campsite is sure to provide a comfortable and enjoyable stay.